

### **REMARKS**

Claims 7 and 15 are amended.

I. 35 U.S.C. 112

Claims 4, 7, and 15 have been rejected by the Examiner as indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter that the applicant regards as the invention.

A. Claim 4

The Examiner asked what edge has a radius “of at least one millimeter.” Claim 4 requires, “edge-free part of the wall has curvatures with a radius of at least one millimeter”. This is described on page 13, lines 11-19 of the Specification. The “edge-free” part of the wall is described in claim 1 which states, “the wall of the measuring chamber is designed such that it is free from any edges with the exception of the inlet and outlet openings and the transition from the membrane to the remaining part of the wall.”

B. Claim 7

The Examiner has indicated that Figure 4 shows the cross-section of inlet 8 is smaller, not larger, than the cross-section of the outlet opening 9. The claim has been amended to include “cross sectional area” instead of “cross section”. The cross sectional area can be seen in Figure 5. This is also described on page 13, lines 24 -39 of the Specification.

C. Claim 15

The Examiner has questioned the term “housing” as recited in claim 15. Claim 15 has been amended and no longer includes the term “housing”.

II. CONCLUSION

In view of the above, it is submitted that the claims now presented in the application are in condition for allowance. Accordingly, reconsideration and allowance of the claims are requested.

Respectfully submitted,

*John C. Thomas III 5/5/2006*

John C. Thomas III  
Reg. No. 52,282  
Paul A. Beck & Associates, P.C.  
Suite 100, 1575 McFarland Road  
Pittsburgh, PA 15216-1808  
Phone (412) 343-9700  
Fax (412) 343-5787  
Customer No. 09961